

## Contingency Table Analysis of Categorical Variables (Crosstabulation)

Experimental Condition	Observed Frequency		Marginal Frequencies	Mean Proportion Correct - <i>p</i> (SD)
	Incorrect 0	Correct 1		
Control - 0	25	30	$n_0 = 55$	0.54545 (0.5025)
Treatment 1	20	25	$n_1 = 45$	0.55556 (0.5025)
Treatment 2	10	40	$n_2 = 50$	0.80000 (0.4041)
Marginal Frequencies	55	95	$N = 150$	0.63333 (0.4835)

Note. Standard Deviation of a proportion can be computed by  $SD_p = \sqrt{(p(1-p)N)/(N-1)}$ .

For example for the Condition Treatment 2,  $SD_2 = \sqrt{(0.8(.2)50)/(49)} = 0.4041$

**Computation of Expected Values is completed by Multiplying the Row Marginal Total by the**

**Column Marginal Frequency**

**then divided by the Total (*N*).**

**Computation of Chi-Square ( $\chi^2$ )**

**and phi ( $\phi$ ) statistics**

Expected Values	Incorrect 0	Correct 1			Incorrect 0	Correct 1
Control - 0	$E = \frac{(55 \cdot 55)}{150}$ E = 20.1667	$E = \frac{(95 \cdot 55)}{150}$ E = 34.8333	55	$(O - E)$ $\frac{(O - E)^2}{E}$	$(25 - 20.167) = 4.833$ $4.833^2 / 20.167 =$ <b>1.158</b>	$(30 - 34.833) = -4.833$ $-4.833^2 / 34.833 =$ <b>0.671</b>
Treatment 1	$E = \frac{(55 \cdot 45)}{150}$ E = 16.50	$E = \frac{(95 \cdot 45)}{150}$ E = 28.50	45	$(O - E)$ $\frac{(O - E)^2}{E}$	$(20 - 16.50) = 3.50$ $3.50^2 / 16.50 =$ <b>0.742</b>	$(25 - 28.50) = -3.50$ $-3.50^2 / 28.50 =$ <b>0.430</b>
Treatment 2	$E = \frac{(55 \cdot 50)}{150}$ E = 18.3333	$E = \frac{(95 \cdot 50)}{150}$ E = 31.6667	50	$(O - E)$ $\frac{(O - E)^2}{E}$	$(10 - 18.333) = -8.333$ $-8.333^2 / 18.333 =$ <b>3.788</b>	$(40 - 31.667) = 8.333$ $-8.333^2 / 31.667 =$ <b>2.193</b>
Marginal Totals	55	95	150			

$\chi^2 = \sum(O - E)^2/E = (1.158 + 0.671 + 0.742 + 0.430 + 3.788 + 2.193) = 8.982$ .  $df = (R - 1)(C - 1) = 2 \times 1 = 2$

From Beasley & Schumacker (1995),  $\phi^2 = \chi^2/N = 8.982/150 = 0.05988$ .

Thus  $\phi = \sqrt{0.05988} = 0.2447$ . The *p*-value associated with  $\chi^2 = 8.982$  ( $df = 2$ ) is *p* = 0.011

These values can also be located in an SPSS Crosstabs analysis printout.

With the response variable being dichotomous (i.e., 0 = Incorrect or 1 = Correct), it is simple to demonstrate that using an ANOVA model with the dichotomous outcome as the dependent variable yields an identical statistic concerning the proportion of variance explained.

$$SS_{\text{Between}} = \sum n_j (\bar{p}_j - \bar{p}^*)^2 = 55(0.545-0.633)^2 + 45(0.556-0.633)^2 + 50(0.800-0.633)^2 = 2.086.$$

where,  $\bar{p}^* = 95/150 = 0.633$ .

$$SS_{\text{Within}} = \sum (S_j^2)(n_{j-1}) = (0.5025 \times 54) + (0.5025 \times 44) + (0.4041 \times 49) = 32.747$$

Since,  $SD_p = \sqrt{(p(1-p)N)/(N-1)}$ ,  $SS_p = p(1-p)N$ .

Thus,  $SS_{\text{Total}} = (\bar{p}^*(1-\bar{p}^*)N) = (0.633 \times 0.367) \times 150 = 34.833$ .

ANOVA Source	Table	$H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3$ or $H_0: \pi_1 = \pi_2 = \pi_3$		
Source	SS	df	MS	F
Between (Explained)	2.086	J-1 = 2	2.086/2 = 1.043	1.043/0.223 = 4.682
Within (Error)	32.747	N-J = 150-3 = 147	32.747/149 = 0.223	
Total	34.833	N - 1 = 149	34.833/149 = 0.234	

$$\eta^2 = 2.086/34.833 = 0.0599.$$

Thus,  $F(2,147) = 4.682$ ,  $p = 0.011$ ,  $\eta^2 = 0.0599$ .

Moreover,  $\eta^2 = \phi^2$ , thus demonstrating the equivalence of the Contingency Table Analysis and ANOVA Models.