Mitochondria: In Sickness and in Health
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Mitochondria perform diverse yet interconnected functions, producing ATP and many biosynthetic intermediates while also contributing to cellular stress responses such as autophagy and apoptosis. Mitochondria form a dynamic, interconnected network that is intimately integrated with other cellular compartments. In addition, mitochondrial functions extend beyond the boundaries of the cell and influence an organism’s physiology by regulating communication between cells and tissues. It is therefore not surprising that mitochondrial dysfunction has emerged as a key factor in a myriad of diseases, including neurodegenerative and metabolic disorders. We provide a current view of how mitochondrial functions impinge on health and disease.

Introduction
Mitochondria arose from an alpha-proteobacterium engulfed by a eukaryotic progenitor (Lane and Martin, 2010). Like their bacterial ancestor, mitochondria are comprised of two separate and functionally distinct outer (OMs) and inner membranes (IMs) that encapsulate the intermembrane space (IMS) and matrix compartments. They also contain a circular genome, mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA), that has been reduced during evolution through gene transfer to the nucleus. mtDNA is organized into discrete nucleoids in the matrix. Interestingly, the closest relatives of many mtDNA-modifying enzymes, such as mtDNA polymerase, are bacteriophage proteins (Lecrienier et al., 1997; Tiranti et al., 1997), suggesting that an infection of the mitochondrial ancestor contributed to the development of mtDNA maintenance machinery. In animals, mtDNA inheritance is almost exclusively maternal, and paternal mtDNA is actively destroyed in many species immediately after fertilization (Al Rawi et al., 2011; Sato and Sato, 2011).

Advances in proteomic, genomic, and bioinformatic approaches have provided a comprehensive inventory of mitochondrial proteins in various eukaryotes (Gaston et al., 2009; Mootha et al., 2003; Pagliarini et al., 2008; Sickmann et al., 2003). This inventory indicates that mammalian mitochondria contain over 1,500 proteins, which vary in a tissue-dependent manner. Because mtDNA encodes only 13 of these proteins, mitochondria depend on the nucleus and other cellular compartments for most of their proteins and lipids. Nuclear-encoded mitochondrial proteins are actively imported and sorted into each mitochondrial compartment (Neupert and Herrmann, 2007; Schmidt et al., 2010), followed by coordinated assembly into macromolecular complexes, comprised of subunits encoded by nuclear and mitochondrial DNA.

Although mammalian mitochondria have retained some bacterial features, it is estimated that only a small percentage of human mitochondria are derived from the original endosymbiont (Gabaldbón and Huynen, 2004). However, the bacterial ancestry of mitochondria and bacteriophage-related mtDNA maintenance systems make the organelle susceptible to antimicrobial drugs: for example, mitochondrial translation is targeted by common antibiotics that block microbial ribosomes (aminoglycosides, tetracyclines) (Hutchin et al., 1993; van den Bogert and Kroon, 1981), and mtDNA maintenance is affected by antiviral nucleoside analogs (Arnaudo et al., 1991). The genetic risk factors underlying drug sensitivity of mitochondrial function are expected to be numerous, but challenging to identify.

The considerable resources a cell must provide to maintain the mitochondrial compartment underscores the varied essential roles it plays. This is further demonstrated by the fact that mitochondrial dysfunction is associated with an increasingly large proportion of human inherited disorders and is implicated in common diseases, such as neurodegenerative disorders, cardiomyopathies, metabolic syndrome, cancer, and obesity. Below we review new developments in mitochondrial biology and discuss their relevance for human disease.

Mitochondrial Defects Cause Diverse and Complex Human Diseases
Human mitochondrial disorders are a genetically heterogeneous group of different diseases, caused by mutations in mitochondrial and/or nuclear DNA, which encompass almost all fields of medicine (Ylikallio and Suomalainen, 2012). Mitochondrial diseases can affect any organ system, manifest at any age, and, depending on where the gene defect lies, be inherited from an autosome, the X chromosome, or maternally. Currently, mitochondrial disorders cannot be cured, and available treatments are directed at relieving symptoms (Suomalainen, 2011).

Mitochondrial diseases display both clinical heterogeneity and have tissue-specific manifestations, as indicated by the fact that mutations in the same mitochondrial protein complex lead to disparate disease phenotypes. For example, defects in respiratory complex I can lead to atrophy of the optic nerve in adults (Wallace et al., 1988) or subacute necrotizing encephalopathy...
in infants (Morris et al., 1996). The most common nuclear mutations associated with mitochondrial diseases are found in the gene encoding mitochondrial DNA polymerase γ and can manifest as early-onset hepatocerebral disorder, juvenile catastrophic epilepsy, or adult-onset ataxia-neuropathy syndrome (Euro et al., 2011; Hakonen et al., 2005; Navaux et al., 1999; Van Goethem et al., 2001). Another example of clinical variability is exhibited by the recently characterized disease group linked to defects in mitochondrial aminoacyl-transfer RNA (tRNA) synthetases (ARS2s), whose known essential function is to join a mitochondrial tRNA with its cognate amino acid to be transferred to the ribosome for protein synthesis. ARS2 defects promote a variety of phenotypes, including cardiomyopathies, cerebral white matter disease, ovarian dysfunction, and hearing loss. (Scheper et al., 2007; Götz et al., 2011; Pierce et al., 2011) The nature of the molecular defect can often explain variations in the severity and age-of-onset of these diseases, but not the variability in tissue-specific manifestations, which may instead be defined by a patient’s complement of protective and risk alleles.

Phenotypic variability associated with mtDNA-linked diseases is also due, in part, to the high copy number of mtDNA in mammalian cells, which can consequently contain both mutant and wild-type mtDNAs populations—a situation called heteroplasmy (Holt et al., 1988). While mtDNA mutations in tRNA genes possess high clinical variability not explained by heteroplasmy, in the case of protein-coding gene mutations, the degree of heteroplasmy correlates with the severity of phenotypes. For example, for the T8993C/G mutation of mtDNA, affecting ATPase6, a low mutant load causes pigment retinopathy, ataxia, and neuropathy in adults, whereas a high mutant load causes maternally inherited Leigh syndrome in infants (Holt et al., 1990; Tatuch et al., 1992).

Heteroplasmy can be affected by segregation of mtDNA and by selective mitochondrial degradative pathways. Examples of nonrandom segregation include the nonrandom segregation of neutral mtDNA variants in mice (Battersby et al., 2005; Jokinen et al., 2010), and, in humans, the A3243G tRNALeu(UUR) mutation, maternally inherited Leigh syndrome in infants (Holt et al., 1990; Tatuch et al., 1992). While mtDNA mutations in tRNA genes possess high clinical variability not explained by heteroplasmy, the nature of the molecular defect can often explain variations in the severity and age-of-onset of these diseases, but not the variability in tissue-specific manifestations, which may instead be defined by a patient’s complement of protective and risk alleles.

Mitochondria Are Metabolic Signaling Centers

The diverse nature of mitochondrial diseases highlights the many roles mitochondria play in cells and tissues. Mitochondria are best known for producing ATP via oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS). In the matrix, tricarboxylic acid cycle (TCA) enzymes generate electron carriers (NADH and FADH2), which donate electrons to the IM-localized electron transport chain (ETC). The ETC consists of four protein machines (I–IV), which through sequential redox reactions undergo conformational changes to pump protons from the matrix into the IMS. The first and largest of the respiratory complexes, complex I, is a sophisticated microscale pump consisting of 45 core subunits, whose biogenesis requires an army of assembly factors (Diaz et al., 2011; Efremov and Szakal, 2011). The proton gradient generated by complexes I, III, and IV is released through the rotary turbine-like ATP synthase machine or complex V, which drives phosphorylation of ADP to ATP (Okuno et al., 2011; Stock et al., 1999). Beyond ATP production, the inner-membrane electrochemical potential generated by OXPHOS is a vital feature of the organelle (Mitchell, 1961). Membrane potential is harnessed for other essential mitochondrial functions, such as mitochondrial protein import (Neupert and Herrmann, 2007), and is used to trigger changes on the molecular level that alter mitochondrial behaviors in response to mitochondrial dysfunction.

Complexes I and III also generate reactive oxygen species (ROS), including oxygen radicals and hydrogen peroxide, which can damage key components of cells, including lipids, nucleic acids, and proteins (Muller et al., 2004; Murphy, 2009). ROS has been suggested to contribute to diseases associated with mitochondrial dysfunction, including neurodegeneration. For example, Leber’s hereditary optic neuropathy is associated with mutations that alter the ubiquinone binding pocket of mtDNA-encoded complex I subunits (Päätiö et al., 2008) that likely affect electron delivery from the FeS centers of complex I to ubiquinone, leading to an overreduction of FeS clusters, electron leak, and oxygen radical production.

Multiple lines of evidence indicate that mitochondrial ROS also influence homeostatic signaling pathways to control cell proliferation and differentiation and to contribute to adaptive stress signaling pathways, such as hypoxia (Hamanaka and Chandel, 2010). Observations from premature aging mouse models suggest that hematopoietic progenitors are especially sensitive to ROS and/or redox state changes that promote proliferation and prevent quiescence (Ito et al., 2004; Narasimhaiah et al., 2005). Interestingly, progeroid mtDNA Mutator mice, which accumulate mtDNA mutations, are severely anemic (Chen et al., 2009; Kujoth et al., 2005; Norddahl et al., 2011; Trifunovic et al., 2004) and have an early somatic stem cell dysfunction suppressed by n-acetyl-l-cysteine treatment. These observations imply that ROS/redox signaling affects somatic stem cell function and causes progeroid symptoms (Ahlqvist et al., 2012) and that mitochondrial dysfunction in somatic stem cells may contribute to aging-related degeneration.

In all cell types, mitochondria are the major cellular source of NADH and house parts of the pyrimidine and lipid biosynthetic pathways, including the fatty acid β-oxidation pathway, which converts long chain fatty acids to Acyl-CoA. Mitochondria also regulate cellular levels of metabolites, amino acids, and cofactors for various regulatory enzymes, including chromatin-modifying histone deacetylases. Moreover, mitochondria play a central role in metal metabolism, synthesizing heme and Fe-S clusters (Lilj and Mühlhoff, 2008), which are essential components of the major oxygen carrier, hemoglobin, as well as OXPHOS and DNA repair machinery. Mitochondria also
participate in Ca\textsuperscript{2+} homeostasis, shaping the spatiotemporal distribution of this second messenger by buffering Ca\textsuperscript{2+} flux from the plasma membrane and endoplasmic reticulum (ER) (Baugham et al., 2011; De Stefani et al., 2011).

In neurons, the ability of mitochondria to modulate Ca\textsuperscript{2+} flux is essential for controlling neurotransmitter release, neurogenesis, and neuronal plasticity. In addition, mitochondria supply copious amounts of ATP as well as the TCA intermediates that serve as building blocks for synthesis of GABA and glutamate neurotransmitters (Sibson et al., 1998; Waagepetersen et al., 2001). Compromised oxidative metabolism may therefore alter neurotransmitter release, neuronal plasticity and, together with AMPK, coordinately regulates mitochondrial mass and biogenesis. The discovery of active protein kinase (AMPK) and Sirt1, an NAD+-dependent histone deacetylase) or AMP-activated kinase (AMPK) and increase mitochondrial biogenesis by activating peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma coactivator 1-alpha (PGC-1alpha). Upon decreased utilization of acetyl-coenzyme A (acetyl-coA), GCN5 (lysine acetyltransferase 2A) is activated and acetylates PGC1alpha, to inactivate it (blue). NAD\textsuperscript{+}, nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide, oxidized form; NADH, nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide, reduced form; AMP, adenosine monophosphate; ATP, adenosine triphosphate; Ac, acetyl group.

Mitochondria as Energy Sensors and Beacons

The central roles of mitochondria in metabolism position them as key actors in global energy modulation. An increased need for ATP is met by increasing mitochondrial mass and inducing OXPHOS. For example, an increase of mitochondrial mass and activity is observed after endurance exercise (Hoppeler and Fluck, 2003). The regulation of mitochondrial biogenesis is tightly coordinated with pathways that induce vascularization, enhance oxygen delivery to tissues, and enable oxygen supply to facilitate efficient mitochondrial oxidation of glucose and fat (Arany et al., 2008).

Mitochondrial metabolism is both the basis for and target of nutrient signals that ultimately orchestrate an integrated physiological response. The molecular components that sense energy status include transcription factors, hormones, cofactors, nuclear receptors, and kinases, which detect specific signals of mitochondrial activity, such as the NAD\textsuperscript{+}:NADH ratio, the AMP:ATP ratio, or acetyl-l-CoA levels (Figure 1).

Two key cellular sensors of metabolic status are the AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) and Sirt1, an NAD\textsuperscript{+}-dependent deacetylase. AMPK is activated by an increase in AMP:ATP ratio and increased ADP concentrations, both of which accompany a decrease in caloric intake or an increase in energy expenditure (Hardie et al., 2011; Mihaylova and Shaw, 2011). Through the phosphorylation of a variety of targets, it upregulates catabolic pathways including gluconeogenesis, OXPHOS, and autophagy, while inhibiting anabolic pathways including cell growth and proliferation (Cantó et al., 2010; Carling et al., 2011). Sirt1 responds to elevated levels of NAD\textsuperscript{+} that occur upon starvation and, together with AMPK, coordinate the adaptive thermogenesis in counteracting fat storage through insulin resistance (Kharitonenkov et al., 2010; Puigserver et al., 1998; Wu et al., 1999).

Nutrient responses are likely to be tissue specific. In the liver, low blood lipid levels induce the nuclear PPAR-alpha receptor, which ultimately induces ketogenesis. In adipose tissue, mitochondria-derived starvation responses trigger lipolysis to provide peripheral tissues with fuels (Kharitonenkov et al., 2005; Nishimura et al., 2000). In the hypothalamus, AMPK affects neuronal plasticity and transmitter receptor activity to promote food intake and provide neuronal protection in response to hunger (Kuramoto et al., 2007; Yang et al., 2011). During a high nutritional load, multiple cell types exhibit high levels of ATP and NADH levels and the metabolic balance tips toward lipid and glycogen storage, and mitochondrial biogenesis is downregulated, increasing glycolytic ATP synthesis. How does the interrelationship between nutrient sensing and mitochondrial function contribute to disease? Not surprisingly, alterations in mitochondrial mass and activity are contributory factors in obesity and metabolic syndrome. Comparisons between identical twin pairs discordant for obesity revealed significantly reduced mtDNA levels and decreased mitochondrial mass in the obese twin’s adipose tissue, despite identical mtDNA sequences (Pietiläinen et al., 2008). This observation indicates the importance of environmental effects in regulating mitochondrial mass and biogenesis. The discovery of active brown adipose tissue in adult humans has opened up an intriguing avenue in obesity research by clarifying the role of adaptive thermogenesis in counteracting fat storage through UCP1-mediated mitochondrial uncoupling (van Marken Lichtenbelt et al., 2009; Virtanen et al., 2009).
Studies of the Deleter mouse provide a model to interrogate the physiological changes associated with late-onset mitochondrial disease (Tyynismaa et al., 2005). Even when these animals receive normal nutrition, their muscle cells misinterpret an OXPHOS defect and decreased ATP synthesis as starvation. Interestingly, a key regulator of anabolic processes, Akt kinase, is also activated under these conditions (Tyynismaa et al., 2010). In these mice, induction of mitochondrial biogenesis by high-fat feeding appears to be beneficial by inducing mitochondrial mass and OXPHOS activity (Ahola-Erkkilä et al., 2010). The progressive disease course of mice with cytochrome c oxidase deficiencies can similarly be delayed with treatments that increase mitochondrial biogenesis (Viscomi et al., 2011; Wenz et al., 2008; Yatsuga and Suomalainen, 2012) or that activate AMPK (Viscomi et al., 2011). In these instances, it is likely that AMPK activation leads to an increase in NAD⁺, triggering Sir1 activation and subsequent PGC-1α induction of mitochondrial biogenesis (Corton et al., 1995; Golubitzky et al., 2011; Viscomi et al., 2011). Together, these studies suggest that mitochondrial biogenesis is blocked by chronic OXPHOS dysfunction and that increased mitochondrial biogenesis can be beneficial for mitochondrial disease.

Recent work has linked tumor suppressors and oncogenes directly to metabolic sensing and regulation, and has consequently indicated that altered cellular metabolism is a contributory and causative factor in cancer. Cancer cells reprogram the use of two key catabolic molecules, glucose and glutamine via signaling pathways containing known oncogenes, including myc and tumor suppressors, such as the LKB1/AMPK (Vander Heiden et al., 2009). These signaling pathways shunt glucose toward aerobic glycolysis—the so-called Warburg effect (Warburg, 1923)—and glutamine toward glutaminolysis for the purpose of producing amino acids, nucleotides and lipids that are essential for rapid proliferation. In cancer cells, mitochondria play a central role via the TCA cycle in the catabolism of glutamine. The altered metabolism of cancer cells raises the possibility that treatments that shift metabolism toward OXPHOS could be therapeutically effective against cancer. Importantly, mitochondrial metabolic enzymes have been identified as tumor suppressors. Defects in succinate dehydrogenase, fumarate hydratase, and isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH1) cause inherited paragangliomas, pheochromocytomas, myomas, and gliomas, respectively (Baysal et al., 2000; Tomlinson et al., 2002; Yan et al., 2009). Recent intriguing findings in gliomas indicated that IDH1 mutations contribute to gliomas via multiple mechanisms, including stabilizing hypoxia-inducible factor 1, as was previously found in other tumorigenic TCA defects, and by altering the methylation of CpG islands and histones, which causes wide-ranging transcriptional consequences that contribute to oncogenesis (Turcan et al., 2012; Lu et al., 2012; Koivunen et al., 2012). The multifaceted roles of IDH1 mutations in cancer introduce an intriguing role for mitochondrial function in affecting nuclear genomic expression.

**Connecting Mitochondrial Form and Function in Homeostasis and Disease**

Mitochondrial form and function are intimately linked. The inner membrane is highly structured and differentiated into compositionally and functionally distinct regions (Reichert and Neupert, 2002): the inner boundary region is in close apposition to the OM and facilitates lipid trafficking, mitochondrial protein import, and respiratory complex assembly, the cristae are invaginations that penetrate into the matrix and house assembled respiratory complexes and are thought to increase the local charge density/pH to enhance ATP synthesis via OXPHOS (Strauss et al., 2008; Perkins and Frey, 2000); and cristae junctions are tubules that connect the cristae to the boundary and segregate soluble intermembrane space components from the boundary regions. These junctions are restructured during apoptosis to facilitate release of proapoptotic intermembrane space proteins (Frezza et al., 2006). The biogenesis of IM domains is an active process highly dependent on the mitochondrial-specific anionic lipids, phosphotidylethanolamine and cardiolipin, whose transport and levels within mitochondria are tightly controlled by a surprisingly complex set of factors (Osman et al., 2011). Through interactions with lipids and through the formation of inner-membrane supercomplexes, abundant inner-membrane proteins, such as adenine nucleotide translocator, are also important for the structural organization of this membrane (Claypool et al., 2008). In addition, the regulated dimerization/oligomerization of ATP synthase is a major driving force for inner-membrane structure, possibly inducing and/or stabilizing the curvature of crista membranes (Paumard et al., 2002; Strauss et al., 2008). Dedicated structural assemblies have also been implicated in the organization of mitochondrial membranes (Polianskyte et al., 2009), including recent work pointing to a large conserved multiprotein Mitofilin complex (Harner et al., 2011; Hoppins et al., 2011a; von der Malsburg et al., 2011). The importance of OM/IM interactions is underscored by the observation that the Mitofilin complex, termed MitOS, also plays a role in the efficiency of mitochondrial protein import (von der Malsburg et al., 2011), components of which have been implicated in human inherited disorders, including neurological (Jin et al., 1996) and cardiac (Davey et al., 2006) syndromes. Understanding the mechanisms that contribute to the structural organization of the inner membrane will decipher its functions beyond OXPHOS, such as in mtDNA segregation, protein import, and mitochondrial dynamics (Brown et al., 2011).

The lateral organization of the OM is not as well understood, but it serves as a unique signaling platform for pathways such as BCL-2 protein-dependent apoptosis (Chipuk et al., 2010; Bogner et al., 2010) and innate antiviral immunity, which requires the regulated self-assembly of the mitochondrial localized membrane protein, MAVS, into a signaling complex essential for anti-inflammatory interferon response (Wang et al., 2011a). Recent superresolution light microscopy techniques have revealed that the OM import TOM complex is localized in clusters, whose density and distribution are regulated by growth conditions that alter mitochondrial membrane potential (Wurm et al., 2011). This observation highlights that events inside mitochondria regulate the organization and activity of complexes at the mitochondrial surface, which can influence the external structure and behavior of the organelle.

The external structure and the cellular location of mitochondria are critical for their function and depend on highly regulated activities such as mitochondrial division and fusion, motility,
and tethering. These activities govern the overall shape, connectedness, and location of mitochondria within cells (Figure 2). Although little data are currently available, it is clear that the relative contributions of these activities and the molecular components that mediate them are highly tissue specific—a phenomenon that contributes to the variable manifestations of human mitochondrial diseases.

In metazoans, mitochondrial motility is mediated by Miro, a conserved Ras-like GTPase that links the mitochondrial surface with the microtubule motor protein kinesin Milton (Glater et al., 2006; Hollenbeck and Saxton, 2005; Liu and Hajnoczky, 2009; Wang and Schwarz, 2009). Although the exact mechanism is not understood, Miro serves as a Ca\(^{2+}\) sensor that controls mitochondrial motility by virtue of its GTPase domains and its calcium binding EF hand motifs to couple an increase in cytosolic calcium to an inhibition of mitochondrial motility (Macaskill et al., 2009; Saotome et al., 2008; Wang and Schwarz, 2009). This mechanism is particularly important in neurons, where Ca\(^{2+}\) influx occurs at presynaptic terminals and postsynaptic dendritic spines due to glutamatergic stimulation. These local increases provide a mechanism to halt mitochondria at the site of neuronal activity, and maintain Ca\(^{2+}\) and energetic homeostasis. In this context, Miro may enable neurons to efficiently retain mitochondria at the sites with high Ca\(^{2+}\), providing a neuronal protection mechanism. Consistent with this model, the EF hands of Miro mediate glutamatergic regulation of mitochondrial motility and provide a protective mechanism against excitotoxicity (Wang and Schwarz, 2009).

Mitochondrial division and fusion are mediated by the action of large multidomain dynamin-related GTPases that function via self-assembly to remodel diverse membranes in cells (Hopkins et al., 2007). In mammals, mitochondrial division is mediated by a single dynamin-related protein, DRP1, whereas fusion requires two families of dynamin-like proteins, MFN1/MFN2 and OPA1. Evidence suggests that DRP1 divides mitochondria by forming helical structures that wrap around mitochondria (Ingerman et al., 2005; Labrousse et al., 1999; Yoon et al., 2001). Less is known about the mechanism mediating mitochondrial fusion, although it is likely that the self-assembly of the fusion dynamins contributes to membrane tethering and fusion events (DeVay et al., 2009; Griffin and Chan, 2006). The proteins that mediate mitochondrial dynamics are highly regulated and consequently integrated into cellular signaling pathways. For example, DRP1 exists as several splice variants and is modified by a plethora of posttranslational modifications, which integrate its activity with cellular events, such as apoptosis, Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling, hypoxic response, and the cell cycle (Strack and Cribbs, 2012).

Loss of either fusion or division activity results in dysfunctional mitochondria. One common explanation for the importance of mitochondrial fusion is the need for exchange of IMS and matrix contents, including mtDNA between mitochondria. In this manner, mitochondrial fusion may buffer partially defects and transient stresses (Chen et al., 2007, 2010; Nunnari et al., 1997). In cultured cells, stressors including UV exposure, cycloheximide treatment, and nutrient deprivation, stimulate...
mitochondrial fusion to generate branched and interconnected organelles and improve cell survival (Gomes et al., 2011a, 2011b; Rambold et al., 2011; Tondera et al., 2009). Mitochondrial fusion is balanced by mitochondrial division, which creates organelles of the appropriate size for transport along actin or microtubule networks. Cells that are highly polarized and dependent on mitochondrial function, such as neurons, are especially sensitive to defects in mitochondrial division (Verstreken et al., 2005). A neuronal cell-specific knockout of DRP1 in the mouse results in a decrease in neurites and defective synapse formation (Dickey and Strack, 2011; Ishihara et al., 2009; Li et al., 2004; Wakabayashi et al., 2009).

In cells, inhibition of fusion results in OXPHOS deficiencies, mtDNA loss, and mitochondrial motility defects, and division defects also cause OXPHOS deficiencies and significant increases in ROS production (Chen et al., 2003, 2007; Hermann et al., 1998; Ishihara et al., 2009; Parone et al., 2008; Wakabayashi et al., 2009). In animals, deletions and mutations of the division and fusion machinery cause embryonic lethality, and in humans, recessive defects of DRP1 are associated with early infant mortality and cardiomyopathy (Waterham et al., 2007; Ashrafian et al., 2010). Mutations in MFN2 and OPA1 cause tissue-specific neurodegenerative diseases, Charcot Marie Tooth 2A (CMT2A) and dominant optic atrophy (DOA), respectively (Alexander et al., 2000; Delettre et al., 2000; Züchner et al., 2004). These pathogenic conditions emphasize the important physiological roles and differential requirement of mitochondrial dynamics in different cell types.

**Linking Mitochondrial Dynamics with Apoptosis and Autophagy**

Mitochondrial division and fusion also impinge on apoptosis by mechanisms that are not yet fully understood (Martindu and Youle, 2011). During apoptosis, mitochondria dramatically fragment as a consequence of an increased recruitment of DRP1 to mitochondria, which is key to the positive regulatory role DRP1 plays in Bax/Bak-mediated mitochondrial outer-membrane permeabilization (MOMP) (Frank et al., 2001; Jagasia et al., 2005; Wasiak et al., 2007). Although DRP1’s positive role in apoptosis is independent of its role in the regulation of mitochondrial structure per se, mitochondrial shape is likely to be an important factor in MOMP (Cassidy-Stone et al., 2008; Montessuit et al., 2010). In contrast, mitochondrial fusion protects cells from apoptotic cell death, and activation of apoptosis coordinately inhibits fusion activity (Lee et al., 2004; Olichon et al., 2003; Sugioaka et al., 2004). This protection is in part due to the role of OPA1 in the integrity of crista junctions and its ability to limit the release of proapoptotic IMS components (Cipolat et al., 2006; Frezza et al., 2006). Conversely, BCL-2 proteins play regulatory roles in mitochondrial dynamics in healthy cells, where they stimulate fusion (Cleland et al., 2011; Hoppins et al., 2011b; Karbowski et al., 2006; Rolland et al., 2009). The regulatory network formed by BCL-2 proteins and mitochondrial dynamics proteins may be a contributory factor in the human neurodegenerative diseases associated with mutations in MFN2 and OPA1 (Olichon et al., 2007). Furthermore, the roles of BCL-2 in regulating mitochondrial dynamics and in tumors as an antiapoptotic factor link mitochondrial fission and fusion to cancer.

Mitochondrial dynamics are also closely integrated with the mitophagy quality control pathway (Twig et al., 2008; Youle and Narendra, 2011). PINK1, an IMS-localized Ser/Thr kinase, and Parkin, a cytoplasmic E3 ubiquitin ligase, regulate mitophagy in cultured cell models and in fruit-fly muscle. Together these proteins collaborate to sense and trigger the removal of “damaged” mitochondria (Clark et al., 2006; Greene et al., 2003; Narendra et al., 2008; Park et al., 2006). Loss of membrane potential inhibits the degradation of PINK1 and reroutes it to the surface of mitochondria, where it accumulates and recruits Parkin (Kim et al., 2008; Lin and Kang, 2008; Matsuda et al., 2010; Narendra et al., 2010; Vives-Bauza et al., 2010; Ziviani et al., 2010). On the mitochondrial surface Parkin ubiquitinates a specific subset of OM proteins, resulting in their proteasomal degradation by a mechanism that resembles ER-associated degradation pathway (Neutzner et al., 2007; Yoshihi et al., 2011; Ziviani et al., 2010; Chan et al., 2011; Heo et al., 2010; Tanaka et al., 2010; Xu et al., 2011). Another mitophagy pathway functions in erythrocyte development, where upon reticulocyte maturation mitochondria are actively eliminated. This mitophagy pathway is dependent on Nix, an OM-associated BH3-only member of BCL-2 family proteins, suggesting that Nix functions as a mitophagy receptor (Sandoval et al., 2008; Schweers et al., 2007). This raises the possibility that other tissue- or condition-specific mitophagy receptors exist. The presence of such receptors and their functions remain to be elucidated. Given the nature of mitophagy, such receptors could, in addition to contributing to quality control, also dramatically impact mtDNA segregation.

Recent studies specifically connect PINK1/Parkin-mediated autophagy with mitochondrial dynamics and motility, providing evidence that Parkin ubiquitinates MFN1, MFN2, and Miro in cultured cells, leading to their degradation and consequently altering mitochondrial behavior (Chan et al., 2011; Gegg et al., 2010; Poole et al., 2010; Tanaka et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2011b; Ziviani et al., 2010). In this context, multiple OM-associated ubiquitin ligases have been identified whose substrates and roles are largely unknown (Anton et al., 2011; Braschi et al., 2009; Durr et al., 2006; Nakamura et al., 2006; Neutzner et al., 2008; Tang et al., 2011). Loss of membrane potential also attenuates mitochondrial fusion via OMA1-mediated cleavage of integral membrane isoforms of OPA1 (Ehse et al., 2009; Head et al., 2009). Consistently, mitophagy is attenuated in cells with decreased mitochondrial division and/or increased fusion activities likely because larger organelles are occluded from autophagosomes. Indeed, nutrient starvation in cultured cells induces the formation of a hyperperfused mitochondrial network, which protects mitochondria from elimination via mitophagy (Gomes et al., 2011a; Rambold et al., 2011). In flies, attenuation of mitochondrial fusion or stimulation of mitochondrial division can rescue phenotypes associated with PINK1 or Parkin mutants, and loss of division exacerbates these phenotypes and causes lethality (Deng et al., 2008; Poole et al., 2008). Thus, evidence is consistent with the idea that mitophagy is a pathway that coordinately regulates mitochondrial structure and motility to effectively segregate damaged mitochondria from a healthy network in cells, which facilitates their degradation.
A recent mouse mtDNA mutation accumulate in substantia nigra neurons ally to Parkinson-like phenotypes, potentially explaining why defective mitochondrial quality control contributes more gener-

from cell culture models suggest the intriguing possibility that mesencephalon that most severely degenerates in PD. Data Parkin to PD points to their essential role in maintenance of progression (Sterky et al., 2011). This raises the possibility that Parkin, and loss of Parkin does not affect neurological disease 

metabolism contributes to Parkin-linked PD (Kim et al., 2011). Gene defects that lead to mtDNA mutations, such as dominant mutations of mitochondrial DNA polymerase gamma, also cause PD (Luoma et al., 2004). These observations highlight the complex multifactorial nature of neurodegeneration and point to the need for additional animal studies to elucidate physiological roles of mitophagy and its contribution to PD.

Altered mitochondrial dynamics have also been implicated in neurodegeneration. In cell culture models for neurodegenerative diseases, such as Alzheimer’s disease, Parkinson’s disease, Huntington’s disease, and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, mitochondria typically fragment in response to the expression of misfolded proteins (Cho et al., 2010; Costa et al., 2010; Lutz et al., 2009; Shirendeb et al., 2012; Song et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2009). Although it is not clear whether mitochondrial fragmentation is a cause or a consequence of the pathogenic process, inhibition of mitochondrial division attenuates disease-associated phenotypes in multiple models of neurodegenerative disease (Cassidy-Stone et al., 2008; Cui et al., 2010; Lackner and Nunnari, 2010). Inhibiting division may also attenuate the ubiquitin dependent turnover of outer-membrane proteins and mitophagy, which would allow essential behaviors like mitochondrial motility to be retained. In this context, it is possible that the mitochondrial motility defects associated with absent or altered MFN1 and MFN2 proteins result from the targeted degradation of Miro (Baloh et al., 2007; Chen et al., 2005).

The role of mitochondrial division and its potential as a therapeutic target for neurodegeneration needs to be further explored in relevant animal models. In addition, as mitochondrial division is essential in mammals, this pathway may have limited therapeutic potential for neurodegeneration. However, more acute ischemic reperfusion injuries and drug toxicities are also associated with increased mitochondrial fragmentation in cultured cells models and in animal models of myocardial infarction and drug induced renal toxicity, inhibition of mitochondrial division has shown therapeutic promise (Brooks et al., 2009; Ong et al., 2010).

The Roles of Interorganellar Contacts in Mitochondrial Biology

Mitochondrial distribution and dynamics are influenced by intimate physical connections between the mitochondrial outer membrane and diverse intracellular membranes, such as the plasma membrane, peroxisomes, ER, autophagosome, and lysosomes, termed mitochondria-associated membranes (MAMs) (Figure 3). MAMs create unique environments or platforms for the localization and activity of components that function in shared interorganellar functions, such as Ca^2+ homeostasis and lipid biosynthesis (Hayashi et al., 2009; Rizzuto and Pozzan, 2006; Voelker, 2009). Physical tethers are also thought to be important to stably position mitochondria at specific locations within cells, for example, in the axons and dendrites of neurons or in muscle fibers for efficient energy utilization (García-Pérez et al., 2011; Kang et al., 2008).

Communication of mitochondria with intracellular structures also occurs via small vesicles that bud off of mitochondria in a DRP1-independent manner (Neuspiel et al., 2008). Interestingly, treatment of cells with antimycin A, an inhibitor of complex

Figure 3. Interorganellar Communication

Ub, ubiquitin; red and blue, proteins in mitochondrial outer membrane are PINK1, a mitochondrial kinase, and the E3 ubiquitin ligase Parkin, recruited onto mitochondria by PINK1; MDV, mitochondria-derived vesicle; NAD, nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide, oxidized form; NADH, nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide, reduced form; AMP, adenosine monophosphate; ATP, adenosine triphosphate; ER, endoplasmic reticulum, tubules of which are marking sites of mitochondrial division; MAMs, mitochondrial antiviral signaling, which is activated by viral RNA; MAM, mitochondrial-associated endoplasmic reticulum membrane.

The relevance of the PINK1/Parkin mitochondrial turnover pathway in animal models has not yet been established; however, defects in this pathway have been suggested to play a role in the development of Parkinson’s disease (PD), where a role of PINK1 and Parkin were originally characterized as their mutant forms cause familial early-onset PD (Kitada et al., 1998; Valente et al., 2004). The association of PINK1/ Parkin to PD points to their essential role in maintenance of dopaminergic neurons, the cell type in substantia nigra of mesencephalon that most severely degenerates in PD. Data from cell culture models suggest the intriguing possibility that defective mitochondrial quality control contributes more generally to Parkinson-like phenotypes, potentially explaining why mtDNA mutation accumulate in substantia nigra neurons (Bender et al., 2006; Kraysberg et al., 2006). A recent mouse study, however, questions this simple model. In the PD Mitopark mouse model, progressive depletion of mtDNA in dopaminergic neurons does not lead to the accumulation of mitochondrial Parkin, and loss of Parkin does not affect neurological disease progression (Sterky et al., 2011). This raises the possibility that PINK1/Parkin contribute to PD by mechanisms other than mitophagy. Parkin has been implicated in nonneuronal mediated lipid uptake regulation, raising the possibility that altered lipid metabolism contributes to Parkin-linked PD (Kim et al., 2011). Additionally, mitochondrial dysfunction is linked to PD by early toxicological studies on MPTP, a compound that is metabolized into a complex I inhibitor, MPP+. MPP+ selectively accumulates in dopaminergic cells and causes symptoms of PD in humans
III, stimulates the biogenesis of vesicles that carry mitochondrial cargo that fuse with lysosomes, suggesting that this pathway functions in quality control (Soubannier et al., 2012).

A role for ER mitochondrial contacts has been shown in both mitochondrial division and in apoptosis, which has broader implications for understanding how mitochondrial dysfunction contributes to disease. Ca$^{2+}$ release at ER-mitochondrial contacts may sensitize mitochondria to apoptotic effectors (Breckenridge et al., 2003; Iwasawa et al., 2011; Tabas and Ron, 2011). During mitochondrial division, ER tubules wrap around and likely constrict mitochondria and mark sites of mitochondrial division—a process conserved from yeast to mammals (Friedman et al., 2011). In this context, the observation that Bax colocalizes with DRP1 at sites of mitochondrial constriction during apoptosis (Karbowski et al., 2002; Nechushtan et al., 2001) raises the possibility that Bax-dependent MOMP occurs at and depends on regions of ER-mitochondria contact. ER stress and mitochondrial dysfunction have been implicated in a shared set of diseases associated with altered mitochondrial dynamics. Thus, these observations raise the possibility that alterations in ER-mitochondrial contacts are a contributory factor in human disease (Schon and Przedborski, 2011).

Organismal Roles of Mitochondria

Recent studies demonstrate that a defect in mitochondrial function in one tissue has consequences for the whole organism and have expanded our view of mitochondria beyond their cell autonomous roles. In mouse models of mitochondrial disease and in human patients, OXPHOS-deficient skeletal muscle secretes FGF21, a cytokine that enters the blood and circulates (Suomalainen et al., 2011; Tyynismaa et al., 2010) (Figure 4). FGF21 is a fasting-related hormone, which induces ketogenesis in the liver and mobilizes lipids from adipose tissue for oxidation (Badman et al., 2009; Hotta et al., 2009; Kharitonenkov et al., 2005). In mitochondrial disease, FGF21 is constitutively secreted from pseudostarving OXPHOS-deficient muscle fibers, resulting in chronic lipid recruitment from adipose tissue and metabolic derangement (Figure 4). Another muscle-secreted cytokine, irisin, was recently shown to mediate the differentiation of white adipose cells to brown fat in response to exercise and PGC-1alpha-induced mitochondrial biogenesis in skeletal muscle (Boström et al., 2012). A non-cell-autonomous mitochondrial regulatory pathway was also reported in C. elegans: a tissue-specific RNA interference-mediated knockdown of cytochrome c oxidase subunit in neurons causes a local cellular stress response in neurons that is also communicated to the gut (Durieux et al., 2011). The cellular response is an unfolded protein stress response pathway specific to mitochondria (UPRmt) that also exists in mammals (Haynes and Ron, 2010). UPRmt originates in mitochondria from the accumulation of unassembled respiratory complex subunits and is communicated to the nucleus via an unknown mechanism where it culminates in the regulated expression of mitochondrial protein chaperones, such as HSP-60 (Benedetti et al., 2006; Haynes et al., 2007; Haynes and Ron, 2010; Yoneda et al., 2004; Zhao et al., 2002). The mechanism by which activation of the UPRmt is propagated in a non-cell-autonomous manner is also not known, but has been speculated to occur via a “mitokine” that signals OXPHOS deficiency to the whole organism. In addition to peptides, candidates for long range signaling molecules include metabolites and amino acids, whose levels can be easily sensed by over considerable distances by cells and tissues. The finding that a single dysfunctional tissue or cell can tune or reprogram the whole organism via secreted signaling molecules is a new concept in mitochondrial disease. These relatively unexplored pathways are likely an essential part of pathogenesis and by their secretory nature are attractive targets for therapy.

Several outstanding questions are raised by these observations. Are only some tissues capable of initiating whole-organism energy metabolic reprogramming? In humans, brain-specific mitochondrial disorders show low FGF21 levels (Suomalainen et al., 2011), suggesting that brain tissue is not the source for...
FGF21 secretion. Does chronic starvation produce harmful signals that influence disease progression? Is signaling limited to energy deficiency, or can other organelles induce cytokine re-programming as well? Answers to these questions will provide crucial insight into the tissue specific manifestations of mitochondrial disorders.

**Perspective**

Mitochondrial function and behavior are central to the physiology of humans and, consequently, “mitochondrial dysfunction” has been implicated in a wide range of diseases that encompass all aspects of medicine. The complexity of mitochondrial functions and thus “mitochondrial dysfunction,” however, are challenges to unravel, but these challenges must be met to determine whether mitochondrial manipulation can be harnessed therapeutically. Continued basic biological approaches are critical so that we can understand on a molecular level known pathways and characterize new pathways that impact mitochondrial behavior and functions. The development of animal models that faithfully mimic human mitochondrial disease mutations is also essential to understand the physiological significance of these pathways, to unravel the highly tissue specific functions and regulation of mitochondria, and to develop therapeutics (Johnson et al., 2007a, 2007b; Tyynismaa and Suomalainen, 2009). These systems provide the opportunity to determine how “mitochondrial dysfunction” regulates or alters key pathways, which is another critical piece of the puzzle of mitochondrial-linked diseases. Systems-based approaches, such as mapping the genetic interactions between genes encoding mitochondrial proteins, will be required to elucidate the interactions between mitochondrial functions. The first mitochondrial focused map has now been constructed in yeast and reveals the dense and significant connections between mitochondrial localized pathways distributed in different mitochondrial compartments (Hoppins et al., 2011a). Recent technological developments will allow for systems based biochemical, metabolic and genomic approaches, which will provide invaluable insight into mitochondrial biology. These approaches will enable the construction of a complete mitochondrial network map that will be invaluable for understanding the role of “mitochondrial dysfunction” in human disease. The utilization of next-generation sequencing technology advances that exploit the mitochondrial proteome has and will continue to greatly accelerate these advances (Calvo et al., 2012; Tyynismaa et al., 2012). Sequencing advances will continue to lead to the identification of novel mitochondrial proteins and pathways and have already enabled more streamlined diagnosis and the opportunity for genetic counseling for patients with mitochondrial diseases. In combination with intelligent strategies to screen the rich repertoire of existing small molecule libraries, these approaches hold the promise of future cures.
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